



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

APPLICATION NO. 10/062,947

FILING DATE 02/02/2002

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR William E. Bland

85447.000095

4621

PAPER NUMBER

23387

7590

08/27/2003

Stephen B. Salai, Esq. Harter, Secrest & Emery LLP 1600 Bausch & Lomb Place Rochester, NY 14604-2711

EXAMINER

GOODMAN, CHARLES

ART UNIT 3724

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim
!	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/062,947	BLAND ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charles Goodman	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J	<u>une 2003</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	· ·	•
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• •	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election filed on June 19, 2003 has been noted. However, due to Applicant's remarks, the following new Species restriction requirement is being set forth.

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I shown in Fig. 4;

Species III shown in Figs. 5;

Species III shown in Figs. 9-13;

Species VI shown in Fig. 14;

Species VII shown in Figs 15-16 and 20;

Species VIII shown in Fig. 17;

Species IX shown in Fig. 18;

Species X shown in Fig. 19;

Species XI shown in Figs. 21-27;

Species XII shown in Figs. 29-38;

Species XIII shown in Figs. 29-38;

Species XIV shown in Figs. 39;

Species XV shown in Figs. 40-42; and

Species XVI shown in Figs. 43-54.

Art Unit: 3724

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. To be clear, many of Applicant's remarks regarding the confusion from the last restriction requirement is based upon the fact that features *per se* are claimed. However, this is the exact reason why a species restriction is necessary to the extent that it is deemed to be a burden upon the PTO to search for all the disclosed species that may be

Art Unit: 3724

patentably distinct from each other. Thus, for compact prosecution, it is incumbent upon both parties to focus on an embodiment that may be generic and allowable over the prior art. Applicant is respectfully reminded that election with traverse is an option, and the Examiner will consider rejoinder of non-elected claims if and when a generic claim is found to be allowable. Regarding those species which show method steps, they typically involve a corresponding apparatus that perform those steps. Although there are no method claims in the present application, it is the Examiner's opinion that the claimed apparatus is generic enough and the scope of the claims are broad enough to encompass the corresponding structure for the method.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (703) 308-0501. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (703) 308-1082.

Art Unit: 3724

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Charles Goodman Primary Examiner

AU 3724

CHARLES GOODM. PRIMARY EXAMIN'

August 25, 2003